

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,231	06/20/2003	Andrew E. McPherson	1410/77004	9158	
	7590 10/04/2007 TABIN & FLANNERY		EXAM	INER	
120 S. LASAL	LE STREET	WONG, L	WONG, LESLIE A		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,·			1761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24,26-28,30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) 1-24,26-28,30-37 and 40-57 is/are rejected. 7] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) 1-24,26-28,30-37 and 40-57 is/are rejected. 7] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Olean None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- ,,</del>			
Lesile Wong	Office Action Summary		10/601,231	MCPHERSON ET AL.				
The MALLMC DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS CONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Set states of the time to execute of the time of the provided			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Beth State of them may be available under the emplained state of this communication.  Beth St. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (7) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the date of this communication.  Beth St. (8) MONTHS from the date of this comm								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Lattestions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF1 1300, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintain statistical content of the communication of the commun	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24.26-28.30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) : is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24.26-28.30-37 and 40-57 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) : is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) : is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) : is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) : is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on : is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on : is/are: a)   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	I. nely filed the mailing date of this com				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24.26-28.30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to perticular requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * ○  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Partspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status			•				
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24,26-28,30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) <u></u> □							
Application Papers  9)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24.26-28.30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/St/08) 5 ☐ Online Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 ☐ Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/St/08)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-890)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Dispositi	on of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-890)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-24,26-28,30-37 and 40-57 is/are pending in the application						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO:SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Application								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Olimination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-9500)	6)⊠							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The proof of Informal Patent Application  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		<u> </u>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmen	· (a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 10, 2007 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24, 26-28, 30-37, and 40-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,332,587) in view of Denhartog et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,747,091) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action. The amendments to the claims and the new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

With regard to claims 1-3, 9-11 and 17-19, Howard et al disclose acid stabilized pasta having a pH below about 4.6 (abstract, col 4 lines 6-7). However, Howard et al failed to disclose adding a high-intensity sweetener.

Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose at a range of 0.01 to 0.2% (col

Art Unit: 1761

3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the content of the sweetener may vary depending upon the desired level of sweetness.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et al with Denhartog et al by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

With regard to claims 4-5, 12-13, 20-21, 30-31, 43-44 and 51-52, Howard et al disclose food acceptable acids including phosphoric acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, lactic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, acetic acid and propionic acid (col 8 lines 30-34).

With regard to claims 6-7, 14-15, 22-23, 32-33 and 53-54, Howard et al disclose an acidified pasta product.

With regard to claims 8 and 16, Howard et al disclose a method of preparing pasta from dough made from any suitable material such as flour, corn, rice etc with water (col 4 lines 53-58). The pasta can be any desired shape (col 4 line 66) and it is cooked in acidified water (col 5 lines 16-20). In addition Howard et al further disclose that to treat with acid (s) refers to treatment in any way suitable for effecting intimate contact between the pasta material and the acid (s), for example, by boiling pasta material in acidified water, by incorporating the acid (s) directly in the pasta material during formulation, by soaking pasta dough in acidified water etc (col 5 lines 13-20).

Art Unit: 1761

However, Howard et al failed to disclose adding an effective amount of a high-intensity sweetener. Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose at a range of 0.01 to 0.2% (col 3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the amount of sweetener may vary depending upon the desired level of sweetness, therefore it would not have involved an inventive step to increase or decrease the amount of sweetener to a range as recited by applicant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et al with Denhartog et al by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

With regard to claims 24 and 26-28, Howard et al disclose an acid-stabilized pasta comprising a food-acceptable acid (abstract). In addition, Howard et al disclose that the typically the pH of the pasta is in the range of about 3.8-4.6 (col 9 lines 22-23) and that one skilled in the art would be able to balance the pH level and amount of acid used to ensure that the pasta is shelf-stable and has substantially no acid flavor notes (col 9 lines 28-32). However, Howard et al failed to disclose adding a high intensity sweetener. Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose at a range of 0.01 to 0.2% (col 3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the amount of sweetener may vary depending upon the desired

Art Unit: 1761

level of sweetness, therefore it would not have involved an inventive step to increase or decrease the amount of sweetener to a range as recited by applicant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et al with Denhartog et al by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

With regard to claims 34 and 35, Howard et al disclose a method of preparing acid-stabilized pasta by incorporating the acid in the pasta dough, or soaking, or cooking the dough in an aqueous solution containing a food acceptable acid (col 7 lines 63-67). Also, Howard et al disclose precooking the pasta for about 5-20 minutes (col 8 lines 51-68). In addition Howard et al disclose that sugar and other flavorings may be added in the cooking medium/brine (col 8 lines 41-43) but failed to disclose a high-intensity sweetener. Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose (col 3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et all with Denhartog et all by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

With regard to claims 36, 37, 40, 41, 45, and 46, Howard et al disclose a method of preparing acid-stabilized pasta by boiling in an aqueous solution containing a food

Art Unit: 1761

acceptable acid at temperatures between 90°C and 100°C for about 5-20minutes (col 8 lines 51-68). In addition Howard et al disclose that sugar and other flavorings may be added in the cooking medium (col 8 lines 41-43) but failed to disclose a high-intensity sweetener. Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose at a range of 0.01 to 0.2% (col 3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the amount of sweetener may vary depending upon the desired level of sweetness, therefore it would not have involved an inventive step to increase or decrease the amount of sweetener to a range as recited by applicant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et all with Denhartog et all by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

With regard to claim 42, Howard et al disclose that typically the pH of the pasta is in the range of about 3.8-4.6 (col 9 lines 22-23). 12. With regard to claims 47-50, Howard et al disclose acid stabilized pasta having a pH below about 4.6 (abstract, col 4 lines 6-7). Also, Howard et al disclose the pasta pH is low enough to result in the pasta maintaining its resistance to microorganisms (col 7 lines 64-68, col 8 line 1). In addition Howard et al disclose that sugar and other flavorings may be added in the cooking medium (col 8 lines 41-43) but failed to disclose a high-intensity sweetener. Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9)

Art Unit: 1761

using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose at a range of 0.01 to 0.2% (col 3 lines 56-57) in order to provide sugar-free foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the amount of sweetener may vary depending upon the desired level of sweetness, therefore it would not have involved an inventive step to increase or decrease the amount of sweetener to a range as recited by applicant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Howard et all with Denhartog et all by incorporating a high intensity sweetener in the acid stabilized product not only as a sweetener to improve flavor, but also to provide sugar-free food choices for consumers.

Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant agues that Howard et al does not teach the use of high intensity sweeteners to mask acidic flavors and that Denhartog et al does not teach the claimed amount of sweetener to mask unwanted flavors.

Howard clearly teaches food acceptable acids including phosphoric acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, lactic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, acetic acid and propionic acid as is claimed (col 8 lines 30-34). Applicant does not exclude additional components of Howard et al.

Denhartog et al teach sweetened extruded products such as potato sticks (col 3 line 9) using high intensity sweeteners such as sucralose in order to provide sugar-free

Art Unit: 1761

foods for consumers. Denhartog et al also teach that the amount of sweetener may vary depending upon the desired level of sweetness, therefore it would not have involved an inventive step to increase or decrease the amount of sweetener to a range as recited by applicant.

It is well-known in the sweetener art that artificial sweeteners (e.g. sucralose) serve as taste masking agents (see Ulrich et al, US 2003/0032600, entire document, especially claims 1, 14, and 20-22).

Applicant is using known components to yield no more than predictable results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW September 26, 2007